

Title	Ando-Bhatia-Kittaneh 不等式と等号条件(作用素論における不等式とその周辺)
Author(s)	FUJII, Jun Ichi
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1998), 1027: 1-10
Issue Date	1998-04
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/61791
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Ando-Bhatia-Kittaneh 不等式と等号条件

大阪教育大 藤井 淳一 (Jun Ichi FUJII)

1. Introduction.

Let $||| \cdot |||$ be a *unitarily invariant* norm for matrices, that is, it is a norm with

$$|||UAV||| = |||A|||$$

for all matrices A and unitary ones U and V . Since $|||A|||$ is a function of the singular values of A (see [5], where it is called a *symmetric norming function*), we have

$$(1) \quad |||f(AA^*)||| = |||f(A^*A)|||$$

for all real functions f . For the order induced by positive-semidefinite matrices, it is known that

$$0 \leq A \leq B \text{ implies } |||A||| \leq |||B|||.$$

The *operator norm* $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$ is one of unitarily invariant ones and the following inequality holds for all matrices X and Y :

$$(2) \quad |||XAY||| \leq \|X\| |||A||| \|Y\|.$$

In 1988, Ando [1] solved Bhatia's conjecture of estimations for unitarily invariant norms as the following general result:

Theorem A. *Let f be a nonnegative operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$. If A and B are positive-definite matrices, then*

$$|||f(A) - f(B)||| \leq |||f(|A - B|)|||.$$

In [3], one of the authors gave an equality condition for Ando's inequality for the case of the operator norm: *For non-affine f , the equality holds if and only if $A = B$ and $f(0) = 0$.*

Recently, based on Ando's inequality, Bhatia and Kittaneh [2] generalized it, which is paraphrased in terms of the bounds of X^*X :

Theorem B. *Let f be a nonnegative operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$. If A and B are positive-definite matrices and X satisfies $0 < m \leq X^*X \leq M$ for some positive numbers m and M , then*

$$(3) \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \frac{1+M}{2} |||f\left(\frac{2}{1+m}|AX - XB|\right)|||.$$

One of the authors also gave an equality condition of the above inequality for the operator norm in [4]. But our approach does not suit for unitarily invariant norms. In this paper, we give equality conditions for the above inequalities making use of Ando's approach in [1]. Moreover, we generalize the above inequality considering the initial condition of f . Note that all the inequalities in this paper hold also for operators on a Hilbert space if the unitarily invariant norms for operators in discourse make sense.

To begin with, we discuss an extension of Ando's one in the next section since Theorem A played an essential role in the Bhatia-Kittaneh inequality.

2. Ando's inequality. As we pointed out in [4], we need not nonnegativity for f in the above inequalities, which is a sharp estimation even if f is nonnegative.

Theorem 1. *Let f be operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$. If A and B are positive-semidefinite matrices, then*

$$(4) \quad |||f(A) - f(B)||| \leq |||f(|A - B|) - f(0)|||.$$

The equality holds for non-affine f and positive-definite A and B if and only if $A = B$.

The inequality (4) itself is obtained by applying Theorem A to a nonnegative operator monotone function $F(t) = f(t) - f(0)$. To see the equality condition, we have only to show the following lemma, which is essential also in Ando's inequality as in the proof of Theorem A in [1]. Ando's proof is based on the integral representation of a nonnegative operator monotone function F : There exists a positive Radon measure μ on $[0, \infty]$ with

$$F(A) = a + bA + \int_{(0, \infty)} (t : A) \frac{1+t}{t} d\mu(t).$$

In other words, if F is non-affine, then $F(A)$ is nothing but an variation of a parallel sum $1 : A = A(1 + A)^{-1} = 1 - (1 + A)^{-1}$.

Lemma 2. *Let F be a nonnegative non-affine operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$. If A and B are positive-semidefinite, then*

$$|||F(A + B) - F(B)||| \leq |||F(A)|||.$$

Moreover, the equality does not hold if A is nonzero and B is positive-definite.

Proof. Considering the integral representation for F , we have only to show the above inequality for the case $F(x) = 1 : x$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq 1 : (A + B) - 1 : B &= (B + 1)^{-1} - (A + B + 1)^{-1} \\ &= (B + 1)^{-1/2} \left(1 - ((B + 1)^{-1/2} A (B + 1)^{-1/2} + 1)^{-1} \right) (B + 1)^{-1/2} \\ &= (B + 1)^{-1/2} F \left((B + 1)^{-1/2} A (B + 1)^{-1/2} \right) (B + 1)^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and $(B + 1)^{-1} \leq 1/(k + 1)$ for some $k \geq 0$ with $B \geq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |||1 : (A + B) - 1 : B||| &\leq |||(B + 1)^{-1}||| \left\| \left\| F((B + 1)^{-1/2} A (B + 1)^{-1/2}) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k + 1} \left\| \left\| F((B + 1)^{-1/2} A (B + 1)^{-1/2}) \right\| \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k + 1} \left\| \left\| F(A^{1/2} (B + 1)^{-1} A^{1/2}) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k + 1} |||F(A)||| \leq |||F(A)|||. \end{aligned}$$

If B is positive-definite, then k is positive, or $1/(k+1) < 1$. So the last inequality is exchanged for

$$\frac{1}{k+1} |||F(A)||| < |||F(A)|||$$

for nonzero A . \square

Remark. If $F(t) = a + bt$ for $a, b \geq 0$, a nonnegative affine function, then we have $F(A+B) - F(B) = bA \leq F(A)$ and hence

$$|||F(A+B) - F(B)||| \leq |||F(A)|||,$$

in which the equality holds if $F(0) = a = 0$.

For completeness, we sketch a proof of the above theorem:

Proof of Theorem 1. For a nonnegative operator monotone function $F(t) = f(t) - f(0)$, we have

$$|F(A) - F(B)| \leq F(|A - B| + B) - F(B)$$

and Lemma 2 shows

$$|||F(|A - B| + B) - F(B)||| \leq |||F(|A - B|)|||,$$

so the required inequality yields. Moreover Lemma 2 shows $|A - B| = 0$, that is $A = B$.

3. The Bhatia-Kittaneh inequality. Now we extend the Bhatia-Kittaneh inequality and discuss an equality condition. The following extension is the same formula as in [4] except norms:

Theorem 3. Let f be operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ and matrices A and B are positive-semidefinite. If a matrix X satisfies $0 \leq m \leq X^*X \leq M$ for some real numbers m and M , then

$$(5) \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \frac{1+M}{2} \left\| \left\| f\left(\frac{2}{1+m}|AX - XB|\right) - f(0) \right\| \right\|.$$

The equality holds for non-affine f and positive-definite A and B if and only if $AX = XB$.

Though (5) itself follows from (3) via $F(t) = f(t) - f(0)$, we will prove (5) to observe the equality condition considering their proof in [2]. The basic fact is the following lemma which is easily obtained by Theorem 1:

Lemma 4. *Let f be operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$. If A is positive-semidefinite and U is unitary, then*

$$|||f(A)U - Uf(A)||| \leq |||f(|UA - AU|) - f(0)|||.$$

If f is non-affine and A is positive-definite, then the equality holds if and only if A commutes with U .

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |||f(A)U - Uf(A)||| &= |||U^*f(A)U - f(A)||| = |||f(U^*AU) - f(A)||| \\ &\leq |||f(|U^*AU - A|) - f(0)||| = |||f(|AU - UA|) - f(0)|||. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the equality condition is $U^*AU = A$, or $AU = UA$. \square

Now we show Theorem 3 by using their excellent idea in [2]:

Proof of Theorem 3. First we show the case $X = X^*$ and $A = B$. Take a unitary operator $U = (X - i)(X + i)^{-1}$ by the Cayley transform. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A)X - Xf(A) &= 2i(f(A)(1 - U)^{-1} - (1 - U)^{-1}f(A)) \\ &= 2i(1 - U)^{-1}(f(A)U - Uf(A))(1 - U)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and $AU - UA = 2i(X + i)^{-1}(AX - XA)(X + i)^{-1}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - U)^{-1}\|^2 &= \frac{\|X^2 + 1\|}{4} \leq \frac{M + 1}{4}, \\ \|(X + i)^{-1}\|^2 &= \|(X^2 + 1)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 + m}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|||f(A)X - Xf(A)||| &\leq \frac{M+1}{2} |||f(A)U - Uf(A)||| \\
&\leq \frac{M+1}{2} |||f(|AU - UA|) - f(0)||| \\
&\leq \frac{M+1}{2} \left\| \left\| f\left(\frac{2}{1+m}|AX - XA|\right) - f(0) \right\| \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we notice that the equality condition is $AU = UA$, hence $AX = XA$. Now we have the required inequality by taking $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ instead of A and X respectively. In this case, the equality condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

implies $AX = XB$. \square

Example. The logarithm is a typical operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$, so we put an operator monotone function on $[0, \infty]$ by $f_\varepsilon(t) = \log(t + \varepsilon)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we have the following inequality by Theorem 3:

$$|||\log(A + \varepsilon)X - X\log(B + \varepsilon)||| \leq \frac{1+M}{2} \left\| \left\| \log\left(\frac{2}{1+m}|AX - XB| + \varepsilon\right) - \log \varepsilon \right\| \right\|.$$

But (3) implies the following inequality for $a \geq 1$:

$$|||\log(A + a)X - X\log(B + a)||| \leq \frac{1+M}{2} \left\| \left\| \log\left(\frac{2}{1+m}|AX - XB| + a\right) \right\| \right\|.$$

Thus, compared with the latter inequality, the former one gives a new inequality for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and a sharp one for $\varepsilon > 1$.

4. Variations. In this section, we discuss variations for Theorem 3. Bhatia and Kittaneh [2] showed that

$$(6) \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \frac{5}{4} |||f(|AX - XB|)|||$$

for all contractions X . By virtue of Bhatia-Kittaneh's idea, we have the following corollary by substituting tX for X in Theorem 3:

Corollary. Let f be operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ and matrices A and B positive semi-definite. If an operator X satisfies $0 \leq m \leq X^*X \leq M$ for some real numbers m and M , then

$$(7) \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \frac{1 + Mt^2}{2t} \left\| \left\| f\left(\frac{2t}{1 + mt^2}|AX - XB|\right) - f(0) \right\| \right\|$$

for any positive number t . The equality holds for non-affine f and positive-definite A and B if and only if $AX = XB$.

Hereafter in this section, we leave out the common equality condition for the following inequality since they are all based on the above corollary. Now, as a generalization for (6), we have an estimation of $|||f(A)X - Xf(B)|||$ in terms of $f(|AX - XB|)$.

Theorem 5. Let f be operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$, matrices A and B positive semi-definite and $0 \leq m \leq X^*X \leq M$ for some real numbers m and M . If $0 < m \leq 1$, then

$$(8) \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \frac{m^2 + M(2 - m - 2\sqrt{1 - m})}{2m(1 - \sqrt{1 - m})} |||f(|AX - XB|) - f(0)|||.$$

If $m = 0$, then

$$(8') \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{4}\right) |||f(|AX - XB|) - f(0)|||.$$

Proof. Solving an equation $2t/(1 + mt^2) = 1$ for $0 < m \leq 1$, we have $t = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - m})/m$. Then, Corollary implies (8) since the value $(1 + Mt^2)/(2t)$ at $t = t_1 = (1 - \sqrt{1 - m})/m$ is not greater than that at $t = t_2 = (1 + \sqrt{1 - m})/m$. In fact, $t_1 t_2 = 1/m > 0$ shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + Mt_2^2}{2t_2} - \frac{1 + Mt_1^2}{2t_1} &= \frac{M(t_1 t_2 - 1)(t_2 - t_1)}{2t_1 t_2} \\ &= \frac{(M - m)(t_2 - t_1)}{2} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 0$, we have (8') by putting $t = 1/2$. \square

Remark. We may say that (8') is the extreme case for (8) since

$$\lim_{m \downarrow 0} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - m}}{m} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \downarrow 0} \frac{m^2 + M(2 - m - 2\sqrt{1 - m})}{2m(1 - \sqrt{1 - m})} = 1 + \frac{M}{4}.$$

Putting $M = 1$ in (8'), we have the following (6') for any contraction X , which is an extension of (6):

$$(6') \quad |||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \frac{5}{4} |||f(|AX - XB|) - f(0)|||$$

Though Bhatia and Kittaneh did not mention the other type of inequality, we show the following one similarly:

Theorem 6. *Let f be operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$, matrices A and B positive semi-definite and $0 \leq m \leq X^*X \leq M$ for some real numbers m and M . If $0 \leq M \leq 1$, then*

$$|||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{2M(1 - \sqrt{1 - M})}{M^2 + m(2 - M - 2\sqrt{1 - M})} |AX - XB| \right) - f(0) \right\| \right\|.$$

In particular, if $M = 1$, then

$$|||f(A)X - Xf(B)||| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{2}{1 + m} |AX - XB| \right) - f(0) \right\| \right\|.$$

5. Inverse inequalities. Ando [1] gave the inverse inequality for Theorem A making use of the fact that if hermitian matrices A and B satisfy $|||A||| \leq |||B|||$ for all unitarily invariant norms, then $|||h(A)||| \leq |||h(B)|||$ for all monotone increasing convex functions h : Let g be nonnegative monotone increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$ such that g^{-1} is operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ and matrices A and B positive-definite. Then

$$(9.) \quad |||g(|A - B|)||| \leq |||g(A) - g(B)|||$$

According to this idea, Bhatia and Kittaneh [2] also gave inverse inequalities for Theorem B:

$$(10) \quad \left\| \left\| g \left(\frac{2}{1+M} |AX - XB| \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \frac{2}{1+m} \left\| \left\| g(A)X - Xg(B) \right\| \right\|.$$

In the above results, the nonnegativity of g implies that $g(A)$ and $g(B)$ are positive-(semi)definite. Also the condition $\min g(t) = 0$ assures that A and B are arbitrary positive-(semi)definite matrices. But now the domain interval of g does not have to be nonnegative any longer:

Theorem 7. *Let g and h be nonnegative monotone increasing convex functions on $[c, \infty)$ for $c \leq 0$ such that g^{-1} is operator monotone and $g(c) = 0$. If A and B be positive-semidefinite and $0 \leq m \leq X^*X \leq M$, then*

$$\left\| \left\| h \left(\frac{2}{1+M} |AX - XB| \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \frac{2}{1+m} \left\| \left\| h(g^{-1}(g(A)X - Xg(B)) - g^{-1}(0)) \right\| \right\|.$$

Proof. Since $f = g^{-1}$ is operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ and there exist positive-semidefinite operators $C = g(A)$ and $D = g(B)$, we can apply Theorem 3 for C and D :

$$\left\| \left\| \left(\frac{2}{1+M} |f(C)X - Xf(D)| \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \frac{2}{1+m} \left\| \left\| f(CX - XD) - f(0) \right\| \right\|.$$

So the convexity of h assures the required inequality. \square

Remark. Of course h can be g itself. But the independence h of g sometimes convenient. In our example for Theorem 3, $f_\varepsilon(t) = \log(t + \varepsilon)$ means $g_\varepsilon(t) = e^t - \varepsilon$, in which ε should not be greater than 1 by the assumption $g^{-1}(0) \leq 0$ in Theorem 6. In fact $\varepsilon > 1$ implies $A = \log C + \varepsilon \geq \log \varepsilon > 0$, which restricts A . So the above example shows

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| \frac{2}{1+M} |AX - XB| \right\| \right\| &\leq \left\| \left\| \log \left(\frac{2}{1+m} |(e^A - \varepsilon)X - X(e^B - \varepsilon)| + \varepsilon \right) - \log \varepsilon \right\| \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon(1+m)} |e^A X - X e^B| + 1 \right) \right\| \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

If we apply Theorem 6 for $h = g_\varepsilon$, then

$$\left\| \exp \left(\frac{2}{1+M} |AX - XB| \right) - \varepsilon \right\| \leq \left\| \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon(1+m)} |e^A X - X e^B| + 1 \right) - \varepsilon \right\|.$$

For the case $h(t) = e^t$, we have

$$\left\| \exp \left(\frac{2}{1+M} |AX - XB| \right) \right\| \leq \left\| \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon(1+m)} |e^A X - X e^B| + 1 \right) \right\|$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. If $M \geq 1$ in addition, then the convexity of the function $t \mapsto t^{(1+M)/2}$ implies

$$\left\| \exp(|AX - XB|) \right\| \leq \left\| \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon(1+m)} |e^A X - X e^B| + 1 \right)^{(1+M)/2} \right\|.$$

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *Comparison of norms $\|f(A) - f(B)\|$ and $\|f(|A - B|)\|$* , Math. Z. **197** (1988), 403-409.
2. R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, *Some inequalities for norms of commutators*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **18** (1997), 258-263.
3. J. I. Fujii and M. Fujii, *On operator inequalities due to Ando-Kittaneh-Kosaki*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **24** (1988), 295-300.
4. J. I. Fujii and M. Fujii, *On Bhatia-Kittaneh's operator norm inequality*, Math. Japon. (to appear).
5. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Math. Monographs, 1969.